



Doctoral Regulations for the DAM and DBA Doctorates of DTMD University dated February 1, 2021, effective March 1, 2021.

The University for Digital Technologies in Medicine and Dentistry (hereinafter abbreviated to DTMD University), located in Wiltz Castle/Luxembourg, is a certified and accredited university for postgraduate education. In an effort to implement postgraduate education as a measure of lifelong learning and in the European spirit of the Bruges/Copenhagen process and to satisfy the demand for adequate educational goods, it has established a Doctoral School (DS) specifically for this purpose, which has been elevated to the status of an In-Institute of the DTMD University due to its high significance.

At their doctoral school it is possible, depending on the orientation, to complete a Doctorate in Advanced Medicine (DAM) or a Doctorate in Business Administration (DBA). These doctorates, according to the Harvard concept, differ substantially from a classical doctorate, which follows level 8 of the Bologna Process. DAM and DBA of DTMD University are rather on educational path 8 of the Bruges/Copenhagen Process, which aims to promote continuing education in Europe and make it comparable. Since it is a matter of further education for doctoral students who have already received basic training and the DTMD University teaches and conducts research at university level in the spirit of Article 13 of the European Charter of Fundamental Rights, a promotion at the doctoral school can be assumed which justifies the use of the doctoral title on the basis of a thesis in book format.

In respect of gender equality (in the following, the terms are always used in the sense of m/f/d) as well as in view of the demand for a high degree of inclusion, DTMD University has adopted the following doctoral regulations:

Content:

- § 1 Doctorates and doctoral opportunities
- § 2 Doctoral committees
- § 3 Basis of the doctorate
- § 4 Variants of the doctoral procedure
- § 5 Entry in the doctoral register
- § 6 Admission requirements for a doctoral procedure
- § 7 Suitability assessment test

ddd

- § 8 Application for the execution of the doctoral procedure
- § 9 Dissertation
- § 10 Viva voce
- § 11 Doctoral studies
- § 12 Opening of the doctoral procedure
- § 13 Reviewer
- § 14 Expert opinion
- § 15 Acceptance of the dissertation
- § 16 Defense
- § 17 Valuation
- § 18 Award
- § 19 Mandatory copies, publication
- § 20 Invalidation of doctoral theses, non-completion of the doctorate, withdrawal of the doctoral degree
- § 21 Right of objection
- § 22 Doctoral file
- § 23 Honorary doctorate
- § 24 Doctoral anniversary
- § 25 Entry into force

Enclosure 1 Title pages for dissertation to be submitted and deposit copies

Enclosure 2 Declaration of independence, bibliographic description

Enclosure 3 Conduct of viva voce examination

Annex 4 Sample of the certificate

§ 1 Doctorates and doctoral opportunities

- (1) DTMD University awards the Doctor/Doctrix in Advanced Medicine or Doctor/Doctrix in Business Administration, abbreviated as DAM or DBA, on the basis of proper doctoral procedure.
- (2) It shall also confer an honorary doctorate pursuant to § 23 as *Doctor/Doctrix honoris causa h.c.*
- (3) The doctoral degree of DAM or DBA is an accredited university diploma and is thereby awarded depending on the focus of the doctoral student.
- (4) Multiple acquisition of the same title is not possible.

§ 2 Doctoral committees

- (1) The Examination Board of DTMD University conducts the doctoral examination procedure upon request in accordance with § 8. It is a permanent committee and consists of three university professors who are appointed by the Rectorate for an indefinite period of time. The examination board is chaired by the director of the doctoral school, who is responsible for ensuring compliance with the doctoral regulations and has the right to information and the authority to issue instructions to all bodies and parties involved in doctoral matters. The examination board appoints its own doctoral committee for each procedure.
- (2) The first supervisor, who is also the first examiner of the dissertation (§ 3), proposes the members of the doctoral committee to the examination board in consultation with the management of the doctoral school. The doctoral committee consists of two reviewers and another university professor, who chairs the committee.

- (3) The doctoral committee has a quorum when it is complete. It decides by a majority of the votes of those present. The final decision in all pro- motional matters is the responsibility of the examination committee.
- (4) The deliberations of the examination board and the doctoral committee on doctoral questions are not public. The members of the doctoral committee are bound to secrecy.
- (5) Decisions of the examination board and the doctoral committee will be communicated to the applicant in writing by the examination office.
- (6) Reasons must be given in writing for any adverse decisions made against applicants, and the decision must be accompanied by instructions on how to appeal.

§ 3 Basis of the doctorate

- (1) The doctoral degree is awarded on the basis of attendance at the doctoral school as well as an independently prepared written scientific work (dissertation), which must be publicly defended.
- (2) The dissertation is basically an individual performance.
- (3) If the dissertation gives rise to ethical conflicts, the director of the doctoral school shall hold an ethics discussion with the doctoral candidate and, if applicable, with the first supervisor, in which the problems are to be addressed and efforts are to be made to eliminate or minimize conflicts between professional and research freedom rights by reaching a consensus among all those involved. The ethics discussion should end with recommendations. The right of the participants to appeal to the Ethics Committee of DTMD University remains unaffected. However, the ethics committees responsible for the doctoral student, both factually and locally, are to be informed and decisions are to be sought.

§ 4 Doctoral procedure

- (1) Doctoral students attend the three-year doctoral school with mandatory seminars and colloquia.
- (2) In the first year, a total of five seminars of three days each are planned. In the second year, presentation seminars follow, which are intended to demonstrate progress in research and editing of the dissertation in exchange with other doctoral students. In addition, depending on the orientation to DAM or DBA, special seminars may be added, which are completed in agreement with the first supervisor and the director of the doctoral school. The third year is dedicated to the writing of the dissertation and the preparation of its public defense.

§ 5 Entry in the doctoral register

- (1) The Director of the Doctoral School will include the applicant in the list of doctoral candidates after reviewing the application documents. In principle, there is no entitlement to admission.
- (2) The applicant receives a certificate of admission to the doctoral register, which must be presented for enrollment and/or entitles the applicant to use the university facilities.

§ 6 Admission requirements for a doctoral procedure

- (1) Admission to the doctoral examination procedure shall be granted to those who
 1. a diploma, master's or master's degree in a course of study related to the field of doctoral studies.

- or Master's degree or a state examination with an overall grade of "good" or better,
 2. is registered in the doctoral register (§ 5),
 3. submits a dissertation in accordance with § 9, which a university professor who is a member of the doctoral school has bindingly agreed to review,
 4. has not previously definitively failed a similar doctoral procedure or is not in a dormant procedure,
 5. in compliance with § 1, submits a proper application for the implementation of the promotion procedure with all required documents in accordance with § 8.
- (2) In addition, the doctoral procedure can be carried out by way of a suitability determination in accordance with

In accordance with § 7, admission is granted to students who have obtained a bachelor's degree in a degree program related to the doctoral field at a domestic or foreign university and who have graduated with an overall grade of "very good" and who can be expected to achieve outstanding doctoral results. The examination board and the rectorate of the DTMD University must be heard beforehand.

- (3) The examination board decides on the recognition of the equivalence of foreign examinations, degrees and individual module achievements as well as the classification in the overall grade structure, taking into account equivalence agreements. In cases of doubt, an opinion must be obtained from the Luxembourg Ministry of Higher Education. In cases where applicants have been authorized to use an academic degree acquired abroad in the form of a Luxembourg degree entitling them to a doctorate, this degree must be recognized as equivalent.

§ 7 Suitability assessment test

- (1) If an applicant does not have a university degree according to § 6 Para. 1 No. 1, he/she must undergo an aptitude test, which must be applied for in writing to the director of the doctoral school and the content and scope of which will be decided by the examination committee. Exceptions are only permitted in accordance with §6 Paragraph 3.
- (2) Additional academic credits may also be earned in a cooperative doctoral program and recognized as part of the qualifying examination.
- (3) An aptitude test may be waived by decision of the Examination Committee upon written request to the Director of the Doctorate School:
 1. in the case of a university degree close to the subject of the diploma, master's or master's degree or a state examination close to the subject of the degree;
 2. in case of proven scientific activity over a longer period of time in the scientific field in which the dissertation is to be submitted.
- (4) The aptitude test comprises essential examinations from the Master's program customary for the doctoral field. Examinations are to be taken in up to three modules as determined by the director of the doctoral school. Previously completed partial performances can be credited upon request. Modules are defined in the examination regulations of the existing Master's programs.
- (5) Passing each examination is a prerequisite for the recognition of the overall aptitude test. The repetition of failed examinations within the same aptitude testing procedure is excluded. The aptitude testing procedure can be repeated once upon written application. Examinations that have already been passed will be taken into account for the repetition.

- (6) Upon successful completion of the doctoral studies according to § 11, the aptitude test is not required. If proof of successful completion of a subject-related equivalent doctoral program at another university is provided, the aptitude test can also be waived. The examination board decides on the equivalence of a doctoral program at another university.

§ 8 Application for the execution of the doctoral procedure

- (1) The written application for a doctoral degree should be sent to the Director of the Doctoral School, indicating the doctoral title (DAM or DBA). The following documents must be attached electronically to the application:
1. Doctoral thesis (dissertation) together with thesis summary of the dissertation (approx. 2 to 5 pages).
 2. Curriculum vitae in tabular form with a description of the candidate's personal and academic career and educational background, indicating any academic examinations passed and also any that the candidate has unsuccessfully undergone.
 3. Proposal for the selection of reviewers and assessors.
 4. Certified or officially attested proof of fulfillment of the requirements according to § 6 for admission to the doctoral procedure, in particular of the university degree applicable to the scientific field of the doctorate as well as of further or other academic examinations and, if applicable, of admission decisions. In the case of degrees obtained abroad, certified copies of authorized translations into German, French or Luxembourgish must be submitted in addition to the certified copies of the original certificates. In the case of academic degrees obtained abroad, the authorization to use this degree in Luxembourg issued by the responsible ministry must be submitted, if applicable.
 5. Declaration of acceptance of these doctoral regulations.
 6. Declaration according to paragraph 2.
- (2) With the application for the doctoral procedure, the candidate has to affirm in a written statement that
1. the submitted dissertation has been prepared without inadmissible assistance, in particular without the use of a doctoral advisor, and without the use of other than the stated aids, and that the ideas taken directly or indirectly from external sources have been identified as such in the work, and
 2. the submitted dissertation has not been submitted in the same or a similar form to another examination authority for the purpose of a doctorate or another examination procedure, either in Germany or abroad, and has not yet been published as a whole.
- (3) The date of submission and the start of processing of the application is considered to be the date on which the requested documents are received in full in the Examination Office.

- (4) An application may be withdrawn as long as the doctoral procedure has not been completed in accordance with § 12 is opened; in this case, the application shall be deemed not to have been filed.

§ 9 Dissertation

- (1) The dissertation must demonstrate the candidate's ability to independently produce scientific results that represent a development of the scientific field, its theories and methods.
- (2) The dissertation is monographic single.
- (3) The dissertation must be written uniformly in German, French, Luxembourgish or in English. The standard number of pages is 200, but at least 150 pages, which must be justified in an appropriate manner and quality.
- (4) The dissertation contains in bound form beside the text part as well as the table of contents and bibliography
1. a title page in accordance with Appendix 1/1,
 2. an account of the scientific career of the author. The author's career,
 3. a declaration of independence (Annex 2/1) and
 4. dissertation-related bibliographic data (Appendix 2/2).

§ 10 Viva voce

- (1) The viva comprises two oral examinations, which are usually taken as separate examinations. Each partial examination is to be taken before an examiner who is a university lecturer with examination authorization for the examination subject in question. The examination is conducted in the presence of an expert assessor. Each oral examination lasts approximately 60 minutes. The examinations may be held in public. Further specifications on the possible examination subjects and the conduct of the individual examinations are contained in Annex 3.
- (2) The oral partial examinations should be taken no later than three months after the acceptance of the dissertation or the fulfillment of the requirements according to § 15 para. 4. In any case, they must be taken before the defense of the dissertation. At the candidate's request, the doctoral committee may set a different deadline taking into account the circumstances. In the event of a breach of the deadline for which the candidate is responsible, the doctoral examination procedure shall be terminated without success.
- (3) Each partial examination is graded in accordance with § 17 Para. 1. The doctoral committee forms an overall grade for the viva from the partial grades.
- (4) Only one failed partial examination may be repeated only once upon written request of the candidate within six months, but not earlier than after four weeks. The repetition must be applied for to the chairperson of the doctoral committee within four weeks of the failed examination; if this is not done, the examination is deemed to have been definitively failed and the doctoral procedure is discontinued.
- (5) The viva is passed if each partial examination has been assessed with at least the grade "rite".
- (6) The viva can be replaced by successful participation in the doctoral seminars within the framework of the doctoral studies (§ 11). In this case, one graded certificate of achievement replaces one oral viva voce examination and two graded certificates of

viva voce examinations. In this case, the doctoral committee also calculates an overall grade from the partial grades, which replaces the grade for the viva voce examination. The successful participation in the course must be notified to the examination office by submitting the graded certificates of achievement before the doctoral examination procedure is opened.

- (7) Oral examinations as well as the defense according to § 16 can be conducted "remotely", i.e. online or without the physical presence of the students, the examiners and/or assessors. For this purpose, the Microsoft "Teams" service, which is integrated into the Online Campus of the DTMD University and ensures secure identification and authentication of the candidates, is preferably used. The logging of the online examination takes place by recording the respective Teams session. By registering for the examination, the candidates expressly agree to the recording and use of the data for examination purposes.
- (8) If necessary and in urgent cases, the Examination Committee may decide that other forms of examinations be conducted as oral examinations, while maintaining their similarity; they may be conducted "remotely" upon further decision of the Examination Committee.

§ 11 Doctoral studies

- (1) Completion of the doctoral studies is evidenced by proof of successful participation in the doctoral seminars, whereby a scope of two thirds is taken as a basis. Alternatively, the Examination Committee may recognize external achievements in the form of graded certificates of achievement, provided that these external courses are deemed to be at least equivalent. The Director of the Doctoral School is responsible for supervising the proper conduct of doctoral studies and dissertation supervision. He may demand reports and protocols from the lecturers and supervisors.
- (2) Successful completion of the doctoral program is certified upon completion of the procedure along with the doctoral certificate.

§ 12 Opening of the doctoral procedure

- (1) The Examination Committee decides on the opening of the doctoral procedure if, after an examination by the Director of the Doctoral School, it is established that the documents to be submitted in accordance with § 8 are complete and valid and that all admission requirements have been met.
- (2) With the opening of the procedure by the examination board, the reviewers for the dissertation, the chairperson of the doctoral committee and, if applicable, the subjects and examiners for the viva voce examination are determined.
- (3) The examination board may require the revision of the title of the dissertation as well as the preparation or completion of submitted documents. In this case, the opening of the procedure can be postponed until the submission of the improved versions of these documents. The fulfillment of the requirements is to be examined by the doctoral committee.

- (4) The decision to open or not to open the procedure is made at the meeting of the Examination Committee following the submission, provided that the complete documents have been submitted to the Examination Office 14 days before.
- (5) Decisions on the opening or non-opening of the procedure, on the selection of the reviewers and on the chairperson of the doctoral committee, as well as on any documents to be submitted in the subsequent improvement procedure, must be communicated to the applicant in writing within 14 days of the decision by the Director of the Doctoral School. In case of non-opening, the decision has to be substantiated and an appeal instruction has to be provided.
- (6) If doctoral proceedings are not opened, the candidate's application as well as the submitted dissertation and thesis abstract remain in the examination office. All other submitted documents will be returned to the candidate.

§ 13 Reviewer

A dissertation is to be evaluated by two reviewers who have been or will be appointed by the DTMD University Doctoral School.

§ 14 Expert opinion

- (1) The reviews are obtained from the Director of the Doctoral School.
- (2) The reports are sent to the examination office in written form. They serve the decision-making of the doctoral committee. The reviewers recommend the acceptance or rejection of the dissertation. The dissertation is to be evaluated according to § 17 para. 1 (predicate and grade).
- (3) In cases pursuant to § 15 para. 3, further expert opinions may be ordered.
- (4) The reviewers may recommend to the doctoral committee that conditions be imposed.
- (5) Reviews should be completed within three months after the order has been placed. The director of the doctoral school shall point this out to the reviewers.

§ 15 Acceptance of the dissertation

- (1) Proposed grades must be inspected and a written statement submitted within a two-week posting period.
- (2) After expiry of the deadline for the offer, the doctoral committee decides on the acceptance of the dissertation, taking into account written comments according to paragraph 1, and informs the examination office of its decision without delay.
- (3) If at least one expert opinion recommends non-acceptance or if university professors express justified reservations in writing about acceptance of the submitted dissertation, the examination committee shall decide on acceptance or non-acceptance or on obtaining further expert opinions on the basis of a proposal by the doctoral committee. If further expert opinions are to be obtained, the procedure is as defined in §§ 12 to 14. The candidate is to be informed of this in writing.
- (4) Conditions according to § 14 para. 4 after acceptance of the dissertation can be decided by the Promotion Committee. In doing so, the committee shall take into account the recommendations of the reviewers. The doctoral committee shall specify in writing which requirements are to be fulfilled and within which period of time.

- (5) If conditions have been decided upon when accepting the dissertation according to paragraph 4, the timely fulfillment of the conditions is to be determined by the chairperson of the doctoral committee. In any case, the conditions must be fulfilled before the defense. In case of non-fulfillment or not timely fulfillment of the conditions according to paragraph 4, the doctoral procedure will be terminated without success if no extension of the deadline is granted.
- (6) The decision on the acceptance of the dissertation is a prerequisite for admission to the defense and, if applicable, to the viva voce examination.
- (7) The decision on the acceptance or non-acceptance of the dissertation is to be communicated to the candidate in writing by the Director of the Doctoral School within two weeks. In case of acceptance, the expert opinions are to be made available to the candidate at the same time.
- (8) A dissertation that has not been accepted by DTMD University can usually be resubmitted in a revised version at the earliest six months, but at the latest one year after the decision on non-acceptance, in compliance with all formalities required by these regulations. The board of examiners shall decide on exceptions. An aptitude test already successfully passed in the first stage of the procedure will be recognized. The examination board may appoint the same doctoral committee as in the first stage of the procedure.
- (9) If the resubmission has not been made after the one-year period or after the period specified in the exceptional case in accordance with paragraph 8, the procedure shall be deemed to be finally terminated.

§ 16 Defense

- (1) The candidate has to publicly present the results achieved with the dissertation in a lecture, which may not exceed the duration of 25 minutes, and to answer questions from the auditorium. The discussion covers the dissertation and its scientific environment. It should not exceed 60 minutes.
- (2) The date of the defense is to be agreed upon by the chairman of the doctoral committee with the members of the doctoral committee after the acceptance of the dissertation and is to be communicated to the director of the doctoral school. The date is to be communicated to the candidate at least two weeks before the defense by the chairman of the doctoral committee.
- (3) The chairperson of the doctoral committee announces the defense with the same notice period. In addition, other external experts may be invited as determined by the doctoral committee.
- (4) The defense may take place at the appointed time if
 1. The candidate does not claim any temporary impairment of his or her mental or physical condition; and
 2. all members of the doctoral committee are present.
- (5) The chairperson of the doctoral committee or a member of the doctoral committee appointed by him/her shall conduct the defense. It should be noted that
 1. the thesis summary is displayed,
 2. the personnel composition of the doctoral committee is announced,
 3. the candidate is presented,

4. a record is kept of the defense and the subsequent discussion in accordance with Section 22 (2), and
 5. Reject questions that are not related to the scientific subject of the dissertation.
- (6) In non-public consultation, the doctoral committee decides on the result of the defense and the evaluation according to § 17 immediately after the defense. The defense is passed exactly when it has been evaluated with at least the grade "rite". Furthermore, the doctoral committee determines the overall evaluation in the doctoral procedure according to § 17 para. 2. Both decisions are then publicly announced orally.
- (7) A failed defense may be repeated at the candidate's request within one year, but not earlier than three months.
- (8) A defense is definitively failed and the doctoral procedure is terminated without success if
1. the request for repetition has not been received in writing by the Director of the Doctoral School within four weeks after the failed defense,
 2. the repetition of the defense is not carried out in due time due to the candidate's fault, or
 3. the repeated defense is not passed.

§ 17 Valuation

- (1) The achievements in the doctoral procedure are to be evaluated with the following grades:
- | | |
|------------------|---------------|
| summa cum laude: | 1.0 and 1.3 |
| magna cum laude: | 1.7; 2.0; 2.3 |
| cum laude: | 2.7; 3.0; 3.3 |
| rite: | 3.7 and 4.0 |
| non sufficit: | 5,0 |
- (2) The doctoral committee decides on the overall grade of the doctoral performance. This is the arithmetic mean, rounded to one decimal place, of the individual grades listed in § 4, using the weights listed in paragraph 3.
- | | |
|------------------|--|
| summa cum laude: | up to and including 1.5 |
| magna cum laude: | from above 1.5 up to and including 2.5 |
| cum laude: | from above 2.5 up to and including 3.5 |
| rite: | from above 3.5 up to and including 4.0 |
- (3) The weights for determining the overall predicate are as follows:
- | | |
|---------------|------|
| Dissertation: | 2/3; |
| Defense: | 1/6; |
| Viva: | 1/6 |
- (4) The decision on the overall grade is made by the examination board on the recommendation of the doctoral committee.

§ 18 Award

- (1) The award of the doctoral degree following a successfully completed doctoral procedure is made by decision of the examination board. The decision to award the degree shall be communicated to the candidate in writing by the Director of the Doctoral School.
- (2) The doctoral degree certificate is awarded when all the requirements for leading

The doctoral certificate is issued in accordance with the regulations of the DTMD University; it certifies the completed award. A sample of the certificate is provided in Appendix 4.

- (3) The right to use the doctoral degree in the forms of § 1 paragraph 1 begins with the delivery of the doctoral degree certificate.

§ 19 Mandatory copies, publication

- (1) Ideally, the accepted dissertation should be published in an appropriate manner.
- (2) The dissertation can be published in a publication series of the doctoral school as an online or book publication. If the dissertation is published as a book, the doctoral student must provide DTMD University with three copies in a timely manner, free of charge.

§ Section 20 Invalidation of Doctoral Achievements, Non-Completion of the Doctorate, Withdrawal of the Doctoral Degree

- (1) Doctoral achievements may be declared invalid and the doctorate may not be completed or the doctoral degree may be withdrawn if it becomes known that
 1. essential requirements for admission to the doctoral program were not met and the candidate wrongfully obtained admission,
 2. doctoral work was performed under deception or
 3. if facts become known which preclude or would have precluded the award.
- (2) In all other respects, proceedings for the non-execution of the doctorate or for the withdrawal of the doctoral degree shall follow the applicable provisions of higher education law.
- (3) The examination board decides on the invalidity of doctoral achievements, the non-completion of the doctorate and the withdrawal of the doctoral title. Before the decision is made, the person concerned must be given the opportunity to comment.

§ 21 Right of objection

The candidate has the right to appeal against decisions. The appeal must be submitted to the director of the doctoral school in writing or on record within one month after receipt of the respective decision.

§ 22 Doctoral file

- (1) The summarized doctoral documents form the doctoral file. It is kept in the examination office during the procedure.
- (2) The doctoral committee shall prepare minutes of all deliberations and decisions in a doctoral procedure, which shall be attached to the doctoral file after being signed by its chairperson.
- (3) After completion of the doctoral procedure, the candidate will be granted access to the doctoral file upon request.
- (4) The application must be submitted to the Director of the Doctoral School within one month after the award of the doctoral degree or after the decision to terminate the procedure.

§ 23 Honorary doctorate

- (1) DTMD University awards its honorary doctorates for distinguished service to the scientific fields it represents.
- (2) An application for the award of an honorary doctorate must be submitted by at least three professors appointed by the Graduate School and must be substantiated in writing. The examination board decides on the award by secret ballot with a majority of the valid votes cast. The decision requires the approval of the Rectorate.
- (3) The conferral of an honorary doctorate shall be effected by the delivery of a certificate signed by the Director of the Doctorate School.
- (4) The title 'Doctor/doctrix honoris causa' may be withdrawn in accordance with a decision procedure analogous to paragraph 2 if its holder has been legally convicted of a misdemeanor. If he has been legally convicted of a crime, he must be withdrawn.


§ 24 Entry into force

These Doctoral Regulations have been adopted by the Board of Directors of DTMD University on February 1, 2021. They will come into force on March 1, 2021.


Luxembourg, February 1, 2021



Prof. Dr. André Reuter
President



Dr. Ralf Rössler
Dean



Appendix 1/1: Title page for the work to be submitted

.....

(title)

DISSERTATION submitted to the Doctoral School of the DTMD University at
Wiltz/Luxembourg

to obtain the title

.....

(academic title)

.....

(short form)

presented

from

(first name, last name)

born on in Luxembourg,

.....

(submission date)

Attachment 1/2

Cover page for the copies

.....

(title)

From the
Doctoral school approved DISSERTATION

to obtain the title of DAM or DBA

.....
(academic title)


.....
(short form)
presented

from
(first name, last name)

born on in Reviewer:

.....
.....
.....

Day of the award




Annex 2/1: Declaration of independence

I hereby declare that I have written this dissertation independently and without any unauthorized outside help, in particular without the help of a doctoral advisor. I have not used any sources or aids other than those listed, and I have acknowledged as such all text taken verbatim or in spirit from published or unpublished writings, and all information based on oral information. Likewise, all materials provided or services rendered by other persons are identified as such.

.....
(place, date)

(Signature)



Appendix 2/2: Bibliographic Description:

Name, first name

Title of work

DTMD University Luxembourg, Dissertation

... S.*, ... Lit.*, ... Fig., ... Appendices (etc.)

Unit:


Brief description of the content of the work

(Length of bibliographical description and paper maximum one page).

*

... p. (total number of pages)

... Lit. (number of references shown in the bibliography)



Annex 3: Conduct of the viva

(1) Exam subjects


The subjects offered in the doctoral seminars can be selected for the viva voce examination.

(2) Proposal of the examination subjects

In accordance with § 8 para. 1 item 3, the candidate proposes the examination subjects.

(3) Determination of the examination subjects

Pursuant to Section 10 (2), the examination subjects requested by the candidate (Section 8 (1)) are determined by the Examination Committee, if possible, when the procedure is opened. This includes the appointment and notification of the examiners.



Annex 4: Result of the doctoral procedure at DTMD University Wiltz/Luxembourg

Under the rectorate of the professor (name)

and the chair of the examination board of the professor

.....
(name)

awards the DTMD University

Mr/Mrs

born on in

the academic title

.....
(title name)

after having been awarded a doctorate in an ordinary doctoral procedure on the basis of the dissertation on the subject of

.....
.....

(title)


his/her scientific qualification has been proven.

For the overall performance the predicate

.....
issued.

Wiltz/Luxembourg,

(embossed seal)



The Board

RectorThe Chairman of the Examination